Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 124

# The Crystal Structure of Pseudomalachite, Cu<sub>5</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>

BY SUBRATA GHOSE\*

Crystallography Laboratory, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

(Received 28 February 1962)

Pseudomalachite,  $Cu_5(PO_4)_2(OH)_4$  is monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ , with

 $a_0 = 4.47, b_0 = 5.75, c_0 = 17.08 \text{ Å}; \beta = 91^{\circ} 07'.$ 

The structure has been determined from sharpened Patterson projections on (100) and (010) and refined by Fourier and difference Fourier syntheses. In the structure there are two types of parallel infinite octahedral chains, the first composed of one  $Cu(OH)_2O_4$  and two  $Cu(OH)_3O_3$  octahedra, sharing four and three edges respectively and the second of  $Cu(OH)_2O_4$  octahedra sharing opposite square edges. These chains alternate, sharing edges, to form a set of infinite parallel sheets, held together by tetrahedral PO<sub>4</sub> groups.

#### Introduction

From studies of basic copper compounds it is known that the building block of such structures is usually the distorted copper co-ordination octahedron. In these structures the copper octahedra either occur as discrete units, or more commonly share corners or edges to form different types of chains, sheets or threedimensional networks. The structure of pseudomalachite,  $Cu_5(PO_4)_2(OH)_4$  has been determined, in order to gain some knowledge about the structural principles of the basic copper phosphates and arsenates, several of which are known as minerals.

Pseudomalachite is a fairly common secondary mineral, found in the oxidized zone of copper deposits. Berry (1950) determined the space group and cell dimensions; he also summarized and added to the optical, X-ray and chemical data on this mineral from various localities. Guillemin (1956) synthesized this mineral by the action of phosphoric acid on malachite,  $Cu_2(OH)_2CO_3$ . The specimen used for the present structure determination came from Virneberg, Linz, Germany (U.S.N.M. Cat. no. R5381).

## Experimental

Pseudomalachite is monoclinic, crystal class 2/m. The cell dimensions, determined by Berry (1950) for this specimen from precession photographs about a and b with Mo  $K\alpha$  and Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation, are:

 $a_0 = 4.47$ ,  $b_0 = 5.75$ ,  $c_0 = 17.08$  Å;  $\beta = 91^{\circ} 07'$ . Space group  $P2_1/c$ .  $D_{\text{meas.}} \sim 4.3$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $D_{\text{calc.}} = 4.34$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>. Two formula units per cell.

The intensities of 0kl, h0l and h1l reflections have been visually estimated from multiple-film Weissenberg photographs, taken with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation. The intensities have been corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, but no absorption corrections have been made. The observed structure factors have been put on an absolute basis in the course of the structure determination. All the calculations have been carried out on the IBM 650 computer, using Shiono's programs (1957, 1959).

## Determination of the structure

The structure determination was started with the sharpened Patterson projection on (100), since a is the shortest axis. The unit cell contains 10 Cu, 4 P, 16 O and 8 (OH) ions. Two of the ten copper atoms must be on a two-fold special position. They were arbitrarily placed at 2(a); 0, 0, 0 and  $0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ . The Patterson map, therefore, should contain peaks at locations of atoms in the structure. However, the Patterson map contained many strong peaks of about equal height and the structure was not immediately obvious. From the consideration of the Patterson peaks occuring on  $v = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $w = \frac{1}{2}$ , the other two copper atoms were located at  $y = \frac{1}{2}$ , z = 0.09 and y =0.34,  $z = \frac{1}{4}$ . The 0kl structure factors, calculated with the contribution from the copper atoms only, yielded an R factor of 0.45. Successive Fourier and difference Fourier syntheses brought out the phosphorus and



Fig. 1. Electron density projection of pseudomalachite,  $Cu_5(PO_4)_2(OH)_4$  on (100). Contour intervals 4 e.Å<sup>-2</sup>.

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: Mineralogical Institute, University of Bern, Sahlistrasse 6, Bern, Switzerland.

Table 1.	Atomic parameters of pseudomalachite,
	$Cu_5(PO_4)_2(OH)_4.$

- (	-/-( /-	
x	y	z
0	0	0
0.048	0.472	0.0853
-0.014	0.329	0.2570
0.518	0.094	0.135
0.182	0.318	-0.014
0.152	0.069	0.317
0.710	0.155	0.064
0.320	0.307	0.153
0.753	0.076	0.204
0.332	-0.132	0.125
	$ \begin{array}{c} x \\ 0 \\ 0.0048 \\ - 0.014 \\ 0.518 \\ 0.152 \\ 0.152 \\ 0.710 \\ 0.320 \\ 0.753 \\ 0.335 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

the oxygen atoms. The (100) projection was refined by difference Fourier syntheses till the R factor decreased to 0.12. The final electron-density projection of the structure on (100) is shown in Fig. 1.

A model of the structure was set up next on the basis of the atomic parameters obtained from the (100)projection. The x parameters were estimated from this model, assuming square planar Cu-O (or OH) distances to be 2.00 Å, non-square planar Cu-O distances to be 2.4-2.6 Å and P-O distances to be 1.54 Å. The x parameters of the copper and the phosphorus atoms were confirmed from a sharpened Patterson projection on (010). The R factor for the h0l structure factors, calculated on the basis of these x parameters, was 0.35. The (010) projection was refined also with difference Fourier syntheses. The Rfactor for h0l reflexions after correcting four strong low-angle reflexions for extinction (Pinnock, Taylor & Lipson, 1956) is 0.15. The R factor for the hll reflexions, calculated with the final parameters, is 0.19. For the structure-factor calculations, the following scattering curves were used: Cu and P, Viervoll &

Øgrim (1949) and O, Berghuis *et al.* (1955). The scattering curves were modified by the following isotropic temperature factors: 0.50 Å<sup>2</sup> for Cu and O and 0.15 Å<sup>2</sup> for P. The observed and calculated 0kl and h0l structure factors are listed in Table 2. Table 1 lists the final atomic parameters.

## Description of the structure

The structure of pseudomalachite is composed of linked distorted co-ordination octahedra around Cu<sub>1</sub>, Cu<sub>2</sub> and Cu<sub>3</sub> and discrete tetrahedral phosphate groups. Projections of the structure on (100) and (010) are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively. The interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 3. The estimated standard errors of bond distances are: Cu–O and P–O  $\pm 0.05$  Å and O–O  $\pm 0.07$  Å.

The phosphate group is quite regular, with an average P–O distance of  $1.54_5$  Å. This distance is comparable to the average P–O distance of 1.54 Å in LiMnPO<sub>4</sub> (Geller & Durand, 1960). The average O–P–O angle is 109.2° and the average O–O distance is 2.52 Å.

The co-ordination around Cu<sub>1</sub> is 4 + (2). Two oxygen and two (OH) ions form a square plane around Cu<sub>1</sub> (Cu<sub>1</sub>-(OH)<sub>1</sub> 2·02 Å (×2) and Cu<sub>1</sub>-O<sub>1</sub> 1·94 Å (×2)) with two further oxygens (Cu<sub>1</sub>-O<sub>4</sub> 2.69 Å (×2)) completing a distorted octahedron. This is comparable to the dimensions of the Cu<sub>1</sub> octahedron in malachite, Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (Wells, 1951), and the Cu octahedron in salesite, CuIO<sub>3</sub>(OH) (Ghose, 1962).

The co-ordination around  $Cu_2$  is 4+1+(1). One oxygen and three (OH) ions form a square array around  $Cu_2$  ( $Cu_2-(OH)_1 2 \cdot 02$  Å,  $Cu_2-(OH)_1' 1 \cdot 98$  Å,



Fig. 2. Projection of the structure of pseudomalachite,  $Cu_5(PO_4)_2(OH)_4$  on (100).

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors of pseudomalachite,  ${\rm Cu}_5({\rm PO}_4)_2({\rm OH})_2$ 

				0	• -				
1. 1. 1	F	F	h k l	F.	F.	1	h k l	F	F.
nni	10	- c		- 0	- 0			1=10	1 700
002	0	13.4	041	91.6	-85.7		208	171.2	178.0
004	26.6	27.4	042	82.7	80.6	Í	$2 \ 0 \ 10$	7.7	$15 \cdot 2$
	65.9	79.9	043	77.3	105.0		2012	121.1	140.7
00 0	05.9	- 12.2	04 3	11.0	1000		2012	90.4	1101
008	100.3	106.6	044	12.0	13.9		2014	20.4	- 23.2
0 0 10	0	7.8	045	15.9	10.0	1	2016	$25 \cdot 1$	26.7
0.0.12	128.9	129.3	04 6	7.9	$3 \cdot 1$		2018	74.9	-54.0
0012	120 3	47 4	04.7	99.5	<b>22.2</b>		2 0 20	52.7	59.6
0014	91.0	41.4	041	28.0	23.3		2020	551	550
$0\ 0\ 16$	60.1	$61 \cdot 1$	04 8	27.5	-28.9		302	70-2	-58.0
0.0.18	78.7	-75.6	049	83.0	-87.6		304	$26 \cdot 1$	$25 \cdot 3$
0 0 00	17.9	09.5	0.4.10	89.5	77.6		306	132.2	-112.2
0020	17.5	23.0	0410	02.0	07.4		200	114 7	117.7
011	$5 \cdot 1$	1.8	0411	30.1	27.4		30 8	114.7	117.7
012	54.6	-52.5	0412	65.6	60.3		3010	21.8	26.0
013	8.8	10.4	0413	11.3	5.4		3012	97.4	115.5
	00	20 2	0 4 1 4	<u> </u>	94.1		2014	70.0	50.0
014	23.9	- 20.0	0414	20.3	24.1		3014	10.9	- 00 0
01 5	80.8	103.4	0415	46.3	55.0		402	110.1	- 78.2
016	33.8	29.7	0416	$32 \cdot 3$	-34.5		404	40.8	$42 \cdot 2$
	01.0	109.6	0.4.17	99.5	- 95.1		406	29.1	- 19.4
01 7	91.9	102.0	0417	22.0	- 25 1		+00	101 0	110.0
01 8	17.8	-15.7	0418	8.2	15.0		408	101.9	112.2
019	69.6	$63 \cdot 2$	051	$37 \cdot 2$	-35.2		$4\ 0\ 10$	15.6	- 4·1
0110	44.7	10.3	05 2	38.4	-42.2	i	4012	54.6	57.4
0110	±±.1	40 0	001	40.0	F1 0	1	4 0 14	99.0	01.9
0111	106-8	- 88.9	053	40.9	51.0		4014	33.2	-21.3
$0\ 1\ 12$	26.4	15.2	054	15.0	-20.0		502	17.7	18-8
0113	5.6	-9.3	055	61.4	62.4		504	56.7	55.8
0110	49.1	90.7	056	0	4.7	i	506	58.2	-37.7
0114	42.1	29.7	050	0	100	i	500	110.0	107.4
$0\ 1\ 15$	68.4	$65 \cdot 2$	057	0	10.0	1	50 8	112.2	105.4
0116	52.3	-47.5	058	43.0	45.8		$5\ 0\ 10$	61.5	54.5
0117	74.1	64.9	05 9	11.4	13.9		-102	17.2	13.6
0117	14.1	01.9	050	141	12.0		10 4*	101.6	199.7
0118	49.1	39.9	0510	14.1	-15.9		-10 4	191.0	100-7
020	84.4	86.0	0511	16.7	14.5	1	-106*	200.5	- 213.7
021	47.3	51.9	0 5 12	9.3	$3 \cdot 0$		-108	$33 \cdot 2$	36.8
0 0 0	Q. 1	101.4	0.5.19	6.5	5.5		-1010	62.9	55.9
	80.1	101.4	0.5.13	0.0	99.0		1010	140.0	160.5
023	52.9	- 55.1	0514	34.3	- 33.9	ł	-1012	149.9	109.0
024	$59 \cdot 1$	-60.4	0 5 15	17.3	-19.4		-1014	0	0.2
025	63.3	74.0	0.5.16	8.7	15.0	i	-1016	12.4	20.6
	6.4	01	060	55.7	64.4		-1018	57.6	- 45.9
026	0.4	9.1	000	00.1	04.4	1	-1018	57.0	- +0 4
027	$103 \cdot 1$	-110.2	061	25.4	27.4		-202	4.9	-8.0
028	42.1	-41.6	062	16.1	11.3		-204	112.9	$124 \cdot 4$
	25.7	36.6	063	30.5	36.6	ĺ	-20.6	100.5	-92.2
02 3	30 7	115 5	0.00	65 9	60.0			79.6	59.0
0210	111.4	115.7	004	05.3	09.0		-208	13.0	00.9
$0\ 2\ 11$	71.4	-61.0	065	11.8	14.1		-2010	<b>44</b> ·6	$-44 \cdot 2$
0 2 12	36.6	38.1	066	42.5	-49.0		-2012	$92 \cdot 1$	108.7
0 9 19	79.7	60.6	067	91.6	27.2		-2014	39.0	37.8
0213	10.1	09.0	007	210	- 21 2		2014	64 5	57.6
0214	62.8	57.5	008	29.1	51.1		=2010	04.0	57.0
$0\ 2\ 15$	20.0	-20.3	069	11.4	-17.1		-2018	92.3	-65.8
0216	19.5	-15.0	0 6 10	5.8	-3.9	1	-302	<b>46</b> ·0	50.1
0210	200	200	071	11.1	19.6		-304	149.7	130.2
0217	3.9	- 3.8	071	10.0	120		100	50 0	2000
0218	17.9	- 13.1	072	10.9	-14.5	1	-30 8	10.0	02.2
$0\ 2\ 19$	30.6	-27.8	073	14.0	17.9		-308	15.2	$2 \cdot 8$
031	31.8	-28.8	10 0*	201.4	$202 \cdot 4$		-3010	40.3	-35.3
001	54 6	59.0	20.0*	178.6	170.7		-3012	97.9	118.0
032	54.0	- 52.9	200	194.9	140 1		2014	510	49.1
033	71.6	64·7	30 0	134.3	140.1		-3014	53.2	43.1
034	35.9	-33.5	400	125.2	129.2		-3016	$31 \cdot 1$	56.9
035	112.6	111.3	50.0	42.4	43.4		-3018	5.9	-8.8
000	1120		10 9	2.9	14.4		-40.2	78.8	67.5
03 0	11.9	1.1	10 2	100.0	-144			700	010
037	121.6	119.9	104	$129 \cdot 2$	148.2		-404	75.6	93.2
038	36.2	34.1	106	41.9	-51.4		-406	85.4	-59.3
020	10.0	7.4	10.0	48.9	56.5		-40 8	54.7	50.3
039	10.9	1'4	100	10.2	000				
0310	16.4	-7.0	1 0 10	59.7	58.3		-4010	13.6	11.4
0311	24.1	21.0	1012	172.0	205.5		-4012	56.5	60.5
0 9 10	04.9	14.5	1014	74.5	_ 56.9		-4014	77.6	70.8
0312	24.3	14.0	1014	14.0	- 00.2				100
$0\ 3\ 13$	$24 \cdot 8$	- 22·1	1016	21.6	31.1		-4016	03.9	82.5
$0\ 3\ 14$	5.9	-4.7	1018	20.1	-17.6		-502	0	8.9
0315	45.2	37.8	1020	75.9	68.1		-504	$152 \cdot 6$	136-6
0010	40.0	47 1	60.6	94.9	_ 95.1		-50 6	15.9	3.9
0310	49.9	- 41.1	20 Z	44·0	- 20.1		- 50 0	10.7	4 9
$0\ 3\ 17$	11.6	9.2	204	30.0	- 20.6		-508	0	4.3
0318	5.3	2.7	206	111.8	-100.9		-5010	27.3	-32.5
04 0	0	3.2							
~ <b>.</b> .	0		1			,			

\* Corrected for extinction.



Fig. 3. Projection of the structure of pseudomalachite,  $Cu_5(PO_4)_2(OH)_4$  on (010). Coordination of  $Cu_3'$  not shown.

Table	3.	Interatomic	distances	and	angles	in	pseudo-	
		malachi	te, Cu <sub>5</sub> (PC	$(0)_{4})_{2}(0)_{4}$	$(\mathbf{H})_4$			

## Table 3 (cont.) Within the Cu<sub>3</sub> octahedron

	Within the ph	osphate group		Cu <sub>3</sub> -O <sub>3</sub>	2.00 Å	$O_3 - Cu_3 - (OH)_2'$
$\begin{array}{c} P-O_{1} \\ P-O_{2} \\ P-O_{3} \\ P-O_{4} \\ O_{1}-O_{2} \\ O_{1}-O_{3} \\ O_{2}-O_{3} \\ O_{2}-O_{3} \\ O_{2}-O_{4} \\ O_{3}-O_{4} \end{array}$	1.54 Å 1.54 1.56 1.54 2.49 2.43 2.59 2.49 2.57 2.57	$O_1-P-O_2$ $O_1-P-O_3$ $O_2-P-O_3$ $O_2-P-O_4$ $O_3-P-O_4$	108° 103 114 107 113 112	$\begin{array}{c} Cu_{3}^{*}-O_{3}^{'}\\ Cu_{3}-(OH)_{2}\\ Cu_{3}-(OH)_{2}^{'}\\ Cu_{3}-O_{2}\\ Cu_{3}-O_{4}^{'}\\ O_{3}-(OH)_{2}^{'}\\ (OH)_{2}^{'}-O_{3}^{'}\\ O_{3}^{'}-(OH)_{2}\\ (OH)_{2}-O_{3}\\ O_{2}-O_{3}\\ O_{2}-(OH)_{2}^{'}\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.94\\ 1.95\\ 1.96\\ 2.36\\ 2.51\\ 2.89\\ 2.60*\\ 2.97\\ 2.60*\\ 3.01\\ 2.66*\\ 3.02 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} (\ddot{OH})_2 - \dot{Cu}_3 - \dot{O_3} \\ O_3 - \dot{Cu}_3 - (OH)_2 \\ (OH)_2 - \dot{Cu}_3 - O_3 \\ O_2 - \dot{Cu}_3 - O_3 \\ O_4 - \dot{Cu}_3 - (OH)_2 \\ \end{array} $
	Within the Cu	$1_1$ octahedron		$O_2 - O_3 O_2 - (OH)_2$	3·22	
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Cu_1-(OH)_1}\\ {\rm Cu_1-O_1}\\ {\rm Cu_1-O_4}\\ {\rm O_1-(OH)_1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 \cdot 02 & (\times 2) \\ 1 \cdot 94 & (\times 2) \\ 2 \cdot 69 & (\times 2) \\ 2 \cdot 70^* & (\times 2) \end{array}$	$O_1-Cu_1-(OH)_1 \\ O_1-Cu_1-(OH)_1' \\ O_4-Cu_1-O_1 \\ O_4-Cu_1-O_1'$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 86^{\circ} \ (\times 2) \\ 94 \ (\times 2) \\ 87 \ (\times 2) \\ 93 \ (\times 2) \end{array}$	$O_4' - O_3$ $O_4' - (OH)_2'$ $O_4' - O_3'$ $O_4' - (OH)_2$	3·40 3·58 3·19 2·96*	
$O_1 - (OH)_1'$ $O_4 - (OH)_1$	$2.90 (\times 2)$ $3.58 (\times 2)$	$O_4$ -Cu <sub>1</sub> -(OH) <sub>1</sub> $O_4$ -Cu <sub>1</sub> -(OH) <sub>1</sub>	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 98 & (\times 2) \\ 82 & (\times 2) \end{array} $		Copper	–copper distances
$O_4 - (OH)_1'$ $O_4 - O_1$ $O_4 - O_1'$	$3 \cdot 14^*$ (× 2) $3 \cdot 39$ (× 2) $3 \cdot 38$ (× 2)	-41 (0)1		$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Cu_1-Cu_2}\\ \mathrm{Cu_1-Cu_2}^{\prime\prime}\\ \mathrm{Cu_2-Cu_2}^{\prime\prime} \end{array}$	$3.09 \\ 3.37 \\ 2.95$	${ \begin{array}{c} {{{\rm{Cu}}_2}{\rm{ - Cu}}_3} \\ {{{\rm{Cu}}_2}{\rm{ - Cu}}_3}' \\ {{{\rm{Cu}}_3}{\rm{ - Cu}}_3}' \\ \cdot {{{\rm{Cu}}_3}{\rm{ - Cu}}_2}' \end{array} }$

## Within the Cu<sub>2</sub> octahedron

Cu <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>2</sub>	1·91 Å	$O_2 - Cu_2 - (OH)_1$	95°
$Cu_2^{-}(OH)_1$	2.02	$(OH)_1 - Cu_2 - (OH)_1''$	85
$Cu_2 - (OH)_1^{\prime\prime}$	1.98	$(OH)_{1}'' - Cu_{2} - (OH)_{2}'$	96
$Cu_2 - (OH)_2'$	1.99	$(OH)_2'-Cu_2-O_2$	86
Cu <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>1</sub>	2.39	$O_1 - Cu_2 - O_2$	96
$Cu_2 - O_4''$	2.70	$O_1 - Cu_2 - (OH)_1$	75
$O_2 - (OH)_1$	2.91	$O_1 - Cu_2 - (OH)_1''$	93
(OH) <sub>1</sub> -(OH) <sub>1</sub> "	2.70*	$O_1 - Cu_2 - (OH)_2'$	93
$(OH)_{1}^{-\prime\prime} - (OH)_{2}^{\prime\prime}$	2.95	$O_4'' - Cu_2 - O_2$	87
$(OH)_{2}' - O_{2}$	2.66*	$O_4'' - Cu_2 - (OH)_1$	116
$0_1 - 0_2$	3.21	$O_4'' - Cu_2 - (OH)_1''$	83
$O_1^-(OH)_1$	2.70*	$O_4'' - Cu_2 - (OH)_2'$	77
$O_{1}^{-}(OH)_{1}^{-\prime\prime}$	3.19		
$O_1^{-}(OH)_2^{-}$	3.18		
$O_{4}'' - O_{2}$	3.26		
$O_{4}^{-}'' - (OH)_{1}$	4·01		
$O_{4}'' - (OH)_{1}''$	3.14*		
$O_4'' - (OH)_2'$	2.96*		
AC 16 - 9			

	3.01	$0_{1} - 0_{2}$	91
H),'	2.66*	$O_{4}^{\dagger} - Cu_{3} - (OH)_{9}$	82
,	2.92	4 5	
$H_{2}$	3.22		
3	3.40		
$(\mathbf{M})_{2}$	3.58		
3´ -	3.19		
$(\mathbf{\tilde{D}H})_2$	2.96*		
	Copper	-copper distances	
u,	3.09	Cu <sub>2</sub> -Cu <sub>2</sub>	3∙06 Å
u, <sup>°</sup> ′′	3.37	$Cu_2^{-}-Cu_3^{\prime}$	3.40
u,,	2.95	$Cu_3 - Cu_3'$	2.89
-		$\cdot Cu_3 - Cu_2'$	3.39
	Copper-pl	hosphorus distances	,
	Cobber-b	nosphorus uistances	

Cu <sub>1</sub> –P	3·24, 3·28 Å
Cu <sub>2</sub> –P	3.13, 3.34
Cu <sub>3</sub> –P	$3.21, \ 3.47$

\* Shared edges.

 $Cu_2-(OH)'_2$  1.99 Å and  $Cu_2-O_2$  1.91 Å). The fifth oxygen,  $O_1$  is at a distance of 2.39 Å, while the sixth one  $O_4''$  is at a distance of 2.70 Å. This type of coordination around copper has been found in azurite, Cu<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Gattow & Zemann, 1958), chalcomenite,  $CuSeO_3.2$  H<sub>2</sub>O (Gattow, 1958) and Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. H<sub>2</sub>O (Simerská, 1954; Mazzi, 1955).

 $Cu_3$  has a 4+2 co-ordination; it is bonded to two oxygen and two (OH) ions in a square plane (Cu<sub>3</sub>-O<sub>3</sub>

94°

83 99

82

87

75

85 97

97

106

 $2\cdot00$  Å,  $Cu_3-O'_3$   $1\cdot94$  Å,  $Cu_3-(OH)_2$   $1\cdot95$  Å and  $Cu_3-(OH)'_2$   $1\cdot96$  Å). Two more oxygens ( $Cu_3-O_2$   $2\cdot36$  Å and  $Cu_3-O'_4$   $2\cdot51$  Å) complete the distorted octahedron. In both  $Cu_1$  and  $Cu_3$  octahedra, the (OH) ions in the square planar co-ordination occur diagonally opposite to each other.

128

The Cu<sub>1</sub> octahedron shares four edges with four neighboring Cu<sub>2</sub> octahedra, while each Cu<sub>2</sub> octahedron shares two edges with two adjacent Cu1 octahedra, one edge with an adjacent Cu<sub>2</sub> octahedron and two edges with two adjacent Cu<sub>3</sub> octahedra. Thus, one  $Cu_1$  and two  $Cu_2$  octahedra, each kind sharing four and three edges alternately, form an infinite chain parallel to b, which is very similar to the chain found in lindgrenite, Cu<sub>3</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> (Calvert & Barnes, 1957). On the other hand, the Cu<sub>3</sub> octahedron shares opposite square edges with two adjacent Cu<sub>3</sub> octahedra to form a second type of octahedral chain, parallel to b. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, each Cu<sub>3</sub> octahedron shares two edges with two adjacent Cu<sub>2</sub> octahedra. In this way, these two different types of copper octahedral chains are joined alternately to form a sheet parallel to bc.

The phosphorus ions in tetrahedral co-ordination bind these adjacent parallel sheets together in a three-dimensional network. Each oxygen ion, in addition to being bonded to phosphorus, is bonded also to two copper atoms, except  $O_4$ , which is bonded to three.

The type of sheet structure found in pseudomalachite is quite different from that found in a number of basic copper compounds, namely, Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>Br (Aebi, 1948; Oswald et al., 1961), Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>Cl, botallackite (Embrey, 1957; Voronova & Vainshtein, 1958), Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>I (Oswald et al., 1961), Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (Nowacki & Scheidegger, 1952), and Cu<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, brochantite (Cocco & Mazzi, 1959). In the above-named compounds, the sheet structure is formed by two types of copper octahedral chain sharing corners; the first type of chain is formed by the octahedra sharing the opposite square edges and is similar to the second type of chain found in pseudomalachite and to the copper octahedral chain found in Cu(OH)2 (Jaggi & Oswald, 1961) and linarite, PbCu(OH)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Bachmann & Zemann, 1961); the second type of chain is formed by the octahedra sharing opposite bipyramidal edges and is similar to the chain found in salesite, CuIO<sub>3</sub>(OH) (Ghose, 1962). A corrugated sheet structure, similar to that found in lepidocrocite, FeOOH, has been found in Cu(OH)2 (Jaggi & Oswald, 1961) and a slightly wave sheet structure in CuOHCl (Iitaka et al., 1961).

In pseudomalachite, each (OH) ion is bonded to three copper atoms at the corners of a triangle; this triangle forms the base of a trigonal pyramid, in which the (OH) ion occupies the apex. The proton in the (OH) ion must occur away from the plane of the copper atoms and is possibly not involved in any hydrogen bonds.

The extra water reported in chemical analyses of pseudomalachite from various localities varies from none to about four molecules per unit cell. According to Berry (1950), this water is not a part of the structure. In the present structure analysis, no definite indication of the presence of water molecules in the structure has been found. If these water molecules are really a part of the structure, they must be zeolitic in nature and possibly occur in channels parallel to b, between rows of phosphate groups.

Prof. L. G. Berry, Queen's University, Canada and Mr Paul E. Desautels, U.S. National Museum, Washington, D.C. kindly provided mineral specimens. The author is indebted to Prof. George A. Jeffrey for laboratory and computation facilities, and to Mrs Pauline K. Persing Ghose for technical assistance and the diagrams. The computations involved in this work were supported from grant no. G 7395 of the National Science Foundation.

## References

- AEBI, F. (1948). Helv. Chim. Acta, 31, 369.
- BACHMANN, H. G. & ZEMANN, J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 747.
- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A.L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- BERRY, L. G. (1950). Amer. Min. 35, 365.
- CALVERT, L. D. & BARNES, W. H. (1957). Canad. Min. 6, 31.
- Cocco, G. & MAZZI, F. (1958). Period. di Mineral. 28, 121.
- EMBREY, P. G. (1957). Brit. J. Appl. Phys. 8, 433.
- GATTOW, G. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 377.
- GATTOW, G. & ZEMANN, J. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 866.
- GELLER, S. & DURAND, J. L. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 325.
- GHOSE, S. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 1105.
- GUILLEMIN, C. (1956). Bull. Soc. franç. Minér. Crist. 79, 219.
- IITAKA, Y., LOCCHI, S. & OSWALD, H. R. (1961). Helv. Chim. Acta, 44, 2095.
- JAGGI, H. & OSWALD, H. R. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 1041.
- MAZZI, F. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 137.
- NOWACKI, W. & SCHEIDEGGER, R. (1952). Helv. Chim. Acta, 35, 375.
- OSWALD, H. R., IITAKA, Y., LOCCHI, S. & LUDI, A. (1961). Helv. Chim. Acta, 44, 2103.
- PINNOCK, P. R., TAYLOR, C. A. & LIPSON, H. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 173.
- SHIONO, R. (1957). Report no. 2. Also IBM 650 Program Library File no. 84001. University of Pittsburgh Computation and Data processing Center.
- SHIONO, R. (1959). Report no. 9. IBM 650 Program Library File no. 84002. University of Pittsburgh Computation and Data processing Center.
- SIMERSKÁ, M. (1954). Czech. J. Phys. 4, 277.
- VIERVOLL, H. & ØGRIM, O. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 277.
- VORONOVA, A. A. & VAINSHTEIN, B. K. (1958). Kristallografiya, 3, 444.
- WELLS, A. F. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 200.